

دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی واحد تبریز



نام درس: طراحی و تحلیل الگوریتم های پیشرفته

بخش: ساختمان داده در جستجوی متن

نام استاد: دکتر مسعود کارگر

# Text-Search Data Structures

- Goals of the lecture:
  - **Dictionary ADT** for strings:
    - to understand the principles of **tries**, compact tries, Patricia tries
  - Text-searching data structures:
    - to understand and be able to analyze text searching algorithm using the **suffix tree** and Pat tree
  - Full-text indices in external memory:
    - to understand the main principles of String B-trees.

# Dictionary ADT for Strings


- *Dictionary* ADT for strings – stores a set of text strings:
  - $search(x)$  – checks if string  $x$  is in the set
  - $insert(x)$  – inserts a new string  $x$  into the set
  - $delete(x)$  – deletes the string equal to  $x$  from the set of strings
- Assumptions, notation:
  - $n$  strings,  $N$  characters in total
  - $m$  – length of  $x$
  - Size of the alphabet  $d = |\Sigma|$

# BST of Strings

- We can, of course, use binary search trees. Some issues:
  - Keys are of varying length
  - A lot of strings share similar prefixes (beginnings) – potential for saving space
  - Let's count comparisons of characters.
    - What is the worst-case running time of searching for a string of length  $m$ ?

# Tries

- **Trie** – a data structure for storing a set of strings (name from the word “retrieval”):
  - Let’s assume, all strings end with “\$” (not in  $\Sigma$ )



Set of strings: {**bear**, **bid**, **bulk**, **bull**, **sun**, **sunday**}

# Tries II

- Properties of a **trie**:
  - A multi-way tree.
  - Each **node** has from 1 to  $d$  children.
  - Each **edge** of the tree is labeled with a character.
  - Each **leaf** node corresponds to the stored string, which is a concatenation of characters on a path from the root to this node.

# Search and Insertion in Tries

```
Trie-Search(t, P[k..m]) //inserts string P into t
01 if t is leaf then return true
02 else if t.child(P[k])=nil then return false
03     else return Trie-Search(t.child(P[k]), P[k+1..m])
```

- The search algorithm just follows the path down the tree (starting with `Trie-Search(root, P[0..m])`)

```
Trie-Insert(t, P[k..m])
01 if t is not leaf then //otherwise P is already present
02     if t.child(P[k])=nil then
03         Create a new child of t and a "branch" starting
            with that child and storing P[k..m]
04     else Trie-Insert(t.child(P[k]), P[k+1..m])
```

- How would the delete work?

# Trie Node Structure

- “Implementation detail”
  - What is the node structure? = What is the complexity of the  $t.child(c)$  operation?:
    - An **array** of child pointers of size  $d$ : waist of space, but  $child(c)$  is  $O(1)$
    - A **hash table** of child pointers: less waist of space,  $child(c)$  is expected  $O(1)$
    - A **list** of child pointers: compact, but  $child(c)$  is  $O(d)$  in the worst-case
    - A **binary search tree** of child pointers: compact and  $child(c)$  is  $O(\lg d)$  in the worst-case




# Analysis of the Trie

- “Size:
  - $O(N)$  in the worst-case
- Search, insertion, and deletion (string of length  $m$ ):
  - depending on the node structure:  $O(dm)$ ,  $O(m \lg d)$ ,  $O(m)$
  - Compare with the string BST
- Observation:
  - Having chains of one-child nodes is wasteful

# Compact Tries


- *Compact Trie*:
  - Replace a *chain* of one-child nodes with an edge labeled with a string
  - Each non-leaf node (except root) has at least two children



# Compact Tries II

- Implementation:
  - Strings are external to the structure in one array, edges are labeled with indices in the array (*from*, *to*)
- Can be used to do *word matching*: find where the given word appears in the text.
  - Use the compact trie to “store” all words in the text
  - Each child in the compact trie has a list of indices in the text where the corresponding word appears.

# Word Matching with Tries



T: 

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	12	14	16	18	20	22	24	26	28	30	32	34	36	38	40
they	think	that	we	were	there	and	there																	


- To find a word  $P$ :
  - At each node, follow edge  $(i,j)$ , such that  $P[i..j] = T[i..j]$
  - If there is no such edge, there is no  $P$  in  $T$ , otherwise, find all starting indices of  $P$  when a leaf is reached

# Word Matching with Tries II

- Building of a compact trie for a given text:
  - How do you do that? Describe the compact trie insertion procedure
  - Running time:  $O(N)$
- Complexity of word matching:  $O(m)$
- What if the text is in external memory?
  - In the worst-case we do  $O(m)$  I/O operations just to access single characters in the text – not efficient

# Patricia trie

- *Patricia trie*:
  - a compact trie where each edge's label (*from*, *to*) is replaced by  $(T[from], to - from + 1)$



T: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40  
they think that we were there and there

# Querying Patricia Trie

- *Word prefix query*: find all words in  $T$ , which start with  $P[0..m-1]$

```
Patricia-Search( $t, P, k$ ) // inserts  $P$  into  $t$ 
01 if  $t$  is leaf then
02      $j \leftarrow$  the first index in the  $t$ .list
03     if  $T[j..j+m-1] = P[0..m-1]$  then
04         return  $t$ .list // exact match
05 else if there is a child-edge ( $P[k], s$ ) then
06     if  $k + s < m$  then
07         return Patricia-Search( $t$ .child( $P[k]$ ),  $P, k+s$ )
08     else go to any descendent leaf of  $t$  and do the
           check of line 03, if it is true, return
           lists of all descendent leafs of  $t$ ,
           otherwise return nil
09 else return nil // nothing is found
```





# Text-Search Problem

- Input:

- Text  $T = \text{"carrara"}$

- Pattern  $P = \text{"ar"}$

carrara

**ar**rara

rrara

rara

**ar**a

ra

a

- Output:

- All occurrences of  $P$  in  $T$


- Reformulate the problem:

- Find all suffixes of  $T$  that has  $P$  as a prefix!*

- We already saw how to do a *word prefix* query.

# Suffix Trees

- *Suffix tree* – a compact trie (or similar structure) of all suffixes of the text
  - Patricia trie of suffixes is sometimes called a *Pat tree*



# Pat Trees: Analysis

- Text search for  $P$  is then a prefix query.
  - Running time:  $O(m+z)$ , where  $z$  is the number of answers
  - Just  $O(1)$  I/Os if the text is in external-memory (independent of  $z$ )!
- The size of the Pat tree:  $O(N)$ 
  - Why?
  - Advantage of compression: the size of the simple trie of suffixes would be in the worst-case  $N + (N-1) + (N-2) + \dots + 1 = O(N^2)$

# Constructing Suffix Trees

- The naïve algorithm
  - Insert all suffixes one after another:  $O(N^2)$
- Clever algorithms:  $O(N)$ 
  - McCreight, Ukkonen
  - Scan the text from left to right, use additional suffix links in the tree
- *Question: How does the the Pat tree looks like after inserting the first five prefixes using the naïve algorithm?*

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

H	o	n	o	l	u	l	u	\$
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

# Full-Text Indices

- What if the Pat tree does not fit in main memory?
- A number of external-memory data structures were proposed:
  - SPat arrays
  - String B-trees
- String B-tree:
  - A B-tree for strings, i.e., supports dictionary operations
  - Can be used for text-searching if all suffixes are stored in it

# String B-tree

- Rough idea:
  - Text is external to the tree, strings are represented in the B<sup>+</sup>-tree by the indices of where they begin in the text
    - This would mean doing  $O(\lg B)$  I/Os when visiting each node – too much!
  - Idea – organize all keys in each node into a Patricia trie. When searching this trie (without any I/Os):
    - We reach a leaf. What then?
    - We stop in the middle. What then?
  - The total running time of text search:
    - $O((m+z)/B + \log_B N)$